Compliance Report Year Ended June 30, 2015



Contents

| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 1-2 |
|--|------------|
| Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 3 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on: Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government</i> <i>Auditing Standards</i> Compliance For Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required By OMB Circular A-133 | 4-5 6-7 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 8-9 |
| Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs | 10 |

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2015

| | Federal CFDA | Pass-Through/ Identification | Federal | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Federal Agency/Grant Name | Number | Number | Expenditures | |
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: | | | | |
| Direct Program: | | | | |
| Community Development Block Grant* | 14.218 | B-13-MC-06-0576 | \$ 1,123,057 | |
| | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban | | | | |
| Development | | | 1,123,057 | |
| | | | | |
| U.S. Department of Justice: | | | | |
| Direct Program: | | | | |
| Justice Assistance Grant Program - 2011 | 16.738 | 2011-DJ-BX-2744 | 901 | |
| Justice Assistance Grant Program - 2012 | 16.738 | 2012-DJ-BX-0827 | 22,473 | |
| Justice Assistance Grant Program - 2013 | 16.738 | 2013-DJ-BX-0988 | 8,193 | |
| Justice Assistance Grant Program - 2014 | 16.738 | 2014-DJ-BX-0319 | 465 | |
| Total Justice Assistance Grant Program | | | 32,032 | |
| Descend through the Oscilla American | | | | |
| Passed through the County of Los Angeles: | 10,000 | | 0.402 | |
| Equitable Sharing Program | 16.922 | CAEQ01940 | 9,403 | |
| Total U.S. Department of Justice | | | 41,435 | |
| U.S. Department of Labor: | | | | |
| Passed through Antelope Valley Workforce Development | | | | |
| Consortium: | | | | |
| Workforce Investment Act - Adult Program | 17.258 | ADW091001 | 308,191 | |
| Workforce Investment Act - Dislocated Workers | 17.278 | ADW091001 | 192,500 | |
| Total Workforce Investment Act Cluster | | | 500,691 | |
| | | | | |
| Total U.S. Department of Labor | | | 500,691 | |
| U.S. Department of Transportation: | | | | |
| Passed through the State of California, Transportation Department: | | | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | BHLO-5450(066) | 13,001 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | BHLS-5450(080) | 170,585 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | BHLS-5450(082) | 676,987 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | BPMPL-5450(080) | 9,193 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | CML-5450(083) | 37,791 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | HSIPL-5450(079) | 401,702 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | HSIPL-5450(081) | 269,803 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | RPSTPLE-5450(059) | 138,243 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | STPL-5450(068) | 39,713 | |
| Highway Planning and Construction* | 20.205 | STPL-5450(078) | 1,732,416 | |
| Total Highway Planning and Construction Program | | | 3,489,434 | |

(Continued)

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

| Federal Agency/Grant Name | Federal CFDA Number | Pass-Through/ Identification Number | Federal penditures |
|--|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued): | | | |
| Direct Program: | | | |
| Federal Transit Formula Grant | 20.500 | CA-04-0246-00 | \$ 13,604 |
| Federal Transit Formula Grant | 20.507 | CA-90-Y276-01 | 1,200,669 |
| Federal Transit Formula Grant | 20.507 | CA-90-Y719-00 | 29,260 |
| Federal Transit Formula Grant | 20.507 | CA-95-X137-00 | 116,062 |
| ARRA - Federal Transit Formula Grant | 20.507 | CA-96-X071-01 | 42,353 |
| Total Federal Transit Cluster | | | 1,401,948 |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | | 4,891,382 |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security: | | | |
| Direct Program: | | | |
| Pre-Disaster Mitigation | 97.047 | PDM 11-PJ21 2011-0002 | 163,138 |
| Pre-Disaster Mitigation | 97.047 | LPDM-PJ-09-CA-2010-002 | 45,108 |
| Total Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program | | | 208,246 |
| Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | 208,246 |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards | | | \$ 6,764,811 |

* Major Program

See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) presents the activity of all federal award programs of the City of Santa Clarita (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2015. The Schedule includes federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other governmental agencies. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the City. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Funds received under the various grant programs have been recorded within the special revenue and enterprise funds of the City. The City utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting for the special revenue funds, and the accrual basis of accounting is used for the enterprise funds. Expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

Note 3. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule, the City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

| | | | | Amount | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----|--------------|--|
| | | | Р | Provided to | |
| CFDA | Program Name | Grant Identifying Number | Su | Ibrecipients | |
| | | | | | |
| 14.218 | Community Development Block Grant | B-13-MC-06-0576 | \$ | 332,178 | |



RSM US LLP

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Clarita, California Santa Clarita, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Santa Clarita, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 24, 2015, which includes an emphasis of matter paragraph for the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Nos. 68 and 71.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD AUDIT | TAX | CONSULTING

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

RSM. US LLP

Irvine, California December 24, 2015



RSM US LLP

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Clarita, California Santa Clarita, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Santa Clarita, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The City's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD AUDIT | TAX | CONSULTING

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that a type of compliance with a type of compliance over compliance over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 24, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements and an emphasis-of-matter paragraph for the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Nos. 68 and 71. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from, and relates directly to, the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion. the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

ESM US LLP

Irvine, California December 24, 2015

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

I. Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

| • | Material weakness(es) identified? | | Yes | Χ | No |
|--|--|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| • | Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weaknesses? | | Yes | X | None reported |
| • | Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | | Yes | X | No |
| Fec | leral Awards | | | | |
| Inte | rnal control over major federal programs: | | | | |
| • | Material weakness(es) identified? | | Yes | X | No |
| • | Significant deficiency(ies) identified? | | Yes | X | _ None reported |
| Тур | e of auditor's report issued on compliance for major fed | eral pro | grams: I | Unmodif | ied |
| • | Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? | | Yes | X | _ No |
| Ide | ntification of major federal programs: | | | | |
| <u>CFI</u> | DA Number | Nam | e of Fec | deral Pro | gram or Cluster |
| 14.2 20.2 | | | | | ment Block Grant |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$300,000 | | | | | |
| Auc | litee qualified as low-risk auditee? | | Yes | Х | No |

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

II. Financial Statement Findings

No matters were reported.

III. Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters were reported.

Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

I. Financial Statement Findings

Material Weaknesses

| | _ | _ | Corrective Action or |
|----------|---|-----------|----------------------|
| Number | Comment | Status | Other Explanation |
| 2014-001 | There were proposed audit adjustments identified during our audit related to capital assets. These adjustments included restatements of net position of the governmental activities for a combination of infrastructure that had not been recorded and the removal of capital assets inconsistent with the City's records. | Corrected | |

II. Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters were reported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.