

City of Santa Clarita

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee

2016/2017 ANNUAL FEE REPORT

PREPARED ON: APRIL 21, 2016

27368 Via Industria Suite 200 Temecula, CA 92590 T 951.587.3500 | 800.755.6864 F 951.587.3510

www.willdan.com/financial



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1
	COMPLIANCE WITH CURRENT LEGISLATION	3
II.	SERVICES FUNDED	4
III.	RATE STRUCTURE ANALYSIS	5
IV.	COST ESTIMATE	9
V.	FEE CALCULATIONS	10
VI.	APPEALS PROCESS	12



I. INTRODUCTION

The City of Santa Clarita (City) Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee is being levied per Chapter 15.50 of the Santa Clarita Municipal Code and the California Health and Safety Code Section 5471 et seq. This Annual Fee Report (Report) is prepared pursuant to the California Health and Safety Code Section 5473 in order to place the fee on the Los Angeles County (County) property tax bills.

In May 1992, the City provided the County with a letter of intention to participate as a Co-Permittee with the County in the application of a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. There were eighty-six (86) other co-permittee agencies that filed a letter of intention to participate in the County's NPDES Permit No. CA006I54. There have been several permit renewals issued since that time, the most recent being effective December 28, 2012.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In accordance with the Federal Clean Water Act of 1972, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is required to establish regulations setting forth NPDES permit standards. The enactment of 1987 amendments to the Federal Clean Water Act (Act) of 1972 imposes permit requirements for discharge of storm waters. The Act allows the EPA to delegate its NPDES permitting authority to states with an approved environmental regulatory program. The State of California is one of the delegated states.

The responsibility for implementing various NPDES permits in the State of California has been delegated to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). The SWRCB administers NPDES authority through its nine Regional Boards, one of which is located in Los Angeles. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (LACDPW), together with 86 other cities, were required to apply to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LA-RWQCB) and SWRCB for a permit to allow stormwater flows to drain into waters of the United States and control pollutants. On June 18, 1990, the NPDES permit for Stormwater/Urban Runoff Discharge in Los Angeles County was issued. There have been several permit renewals issued since that time, the most recent being effective December 28, 2012. There have also been Total Maximum Daily Loads enacted in the Santa Clara River Watershed which are incorporated into the NPDES Permit. The City of Santa Clarita is the only incorporated City in the Los Angeles County portion of the Santa Clara River watershed. The Santa Clara River runs 86 miles from Acton to Ventura, eventually reaching the Pacific Ocean. The City is approximately 45 miles from the Pacific Ocean. The Santa Clara River provides habitat to hundreds of plant and animal species, 16 of which are threatened or endangered. The Santa Clara River is a soft-bottom river, and the water in the river infiltrates into the ground and replenishes local aquifers. Roughly 50% of the Santa Clarita Valley's drinking water comes from these local aquifers recharged by the Santa Clara River.



In addition to existing costs, the City incurred significant increased costs for compliance with the new NPDES Permit issued by the LA-RWQCB and SWRCB in 2012. Los Angeles County operates and pays for a single mass emissions monitoring station in the Santa Clara River. The 2012 NPDES permit requires monitoring for flow and pollutants at multiple points throughout the Santa Clara River. Staff has prepared a Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program to demonstrate how the City and Los Angeles County plan to coordinate monitoring efforts in the most strategic and cost effective manner possible to be in compliance with the NPDES Permit. The plan was approved by LA-RWQCB. The City entered into a contract with Tetra Tech to implement much of the monitoring program. There is also a cost sharing agreement signed with Los Angeles County. For FY 15-16, the City cost share will by \$125,352; for FY 16-17, \$132,986; and FY 17-18 132,986 with a 10 % contingency not to exceed \$38,745. There are special studies that may be required over the three fiscal years that could cost up to \$376,724. Despite staff efforts to dissuade the requirement, the LA -RWQCB may require the installation of automatic sampling equipment over the next three fiscal years, at a cost of approximately \$50,000 per station to install, not including maintenance. There are six to nine storm drain outfall stations that may be required to have this equipment.

As part of the Enhanced Watershed Management Plan to comply with the terms of the 2012 NPDES Permit, the City and Los Angeles County will be required to build and maintain large scale and distributed infiltration projects throughout the City. These best management practices divert flows that would normally enter the storm drain system and the Santa Clara River. Certain flows will be captured and infiltrated into the ground by way of large land areas, such as basins (called Regional BMPs) or strips of land (called LID/Green Infrastructure) to prevent pollutants from reaching the river and also acting to replenish groundwater. Staff anticipates capital costs for these large scale infiltration projects to be \$9.85 million or more by 2020 and up to a total of \$13.8 million by 2022. Another additional requirement is trash excluders. These are devices installed inside catch basins to prevent trash, litter and debris washed from the streets from getting into the storm drain pipe. Each device cost approximately \$1,000 for the equipment and installation. Staff worked to retrofit 87 catch basins within certain high trash-generating areas in the City. A Statewide Trash Policy anticipated to be implemented in 2017 could require further retrofits and other devices to manage trash throughout the City.

Administratively, the City, in conjunction with our partner agencies, will need to modify projects in light of monitoring data and analyze the source of any significant pollutants found. Staff will need to prepare and submit additional and more rigorous annual reports as a result. A Report of Waste Discharge will need to be prepared and submitted to the LA-RWQCB by June 28, 2017, anticipated to cost between \$25,000 and \$50,000 based on consultant estimates. In addition, the Enhanced Watershed Management Plan will need to be reassessed with WMMS model re-run with current monitoring data, and reassessment of the magnitude of what projects and programs are needed to bring the Santa Clara River in compliance with water quality standards. This will be required within the next three fiscal years. Staff anticipates the update to cost approximately \$500,000 based on existing costs to develop the program.



The City of Santa Clarita is in somewhat of a unique situation with regard to stormwater management. Being a relatively new City, most of the street and drainage systems were developed during the process of land development under the supervision of Los Angeles County. Both before and after incorporation in 1987, the ownership of drainage facilities, once constructed, have been transferred to the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD). However, in the past few years the LACFCD has not been processing title transfer requests in a timely manner. As a result, there are currently approximately 230 storm drains in the City that are maintained by the County and 200 storm drains that are still the responsibility of the developer for maintenance. Many of the privately maintained storm drains will probably become the City's at some future time. The public streets, which are a part of the primary storm drainage system, are owned and maintained by the City. The storm drainage catch basins in the street, present a mix of ownership and responsibility. There are some storm drainage catch basins owned by LACFCD and others that are owned by the City.

In order to provide for the safety of the residents of the City and protect property in the City from the damage associated with flooding and to meet water quality requirements of the NPDES permit issued to the City by the LA-RWQCB and SWRCB, it is necessary to design, construct, operate, maintain, improve and replace storm drainage facilities which collect storm and surface water runoff and convey and treat such runoff in a safe manner to an acceptable point of discharge. It is also necessary to inspect, monitor, and take enforcement action related to illegal dumping, illicit discharges, and various water quality concerns. In order to properly fund such facilities and activities, the City Council has determined that it is necessary to impose on all properties in the City a user charge for storm drainage service.

The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee will continue to provide funding for the ongoing water quality requirements for the drainage facilities serving the properties within the City of Santa Clarita.

COMPLIANCE WITH CURRENT LEGISLATION

On November 5, 1996, California voters approved Proposition 218 (The Right To Vote On Taxes Act) that established specific requirements for the ongoing imposition of taxes, assessments and fees. The provisions of this proposition are now contained in the California Constitutional as Articles XIIIC and XIIID.

The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee must comply with the provisions of Article XIIID of the California Constitution. Section 6.b of Article XIIID has the following requirements for all "new, extended, imposed or increased" fees and charges:

- "Revenues derived from the fee or charge shall not exceed the funds required to provide the property-related service."
- "Revenues derived from the fee or charge shall not be used for any purpose other than that for which the fee or charge was imposed."



- "The amount of a fee or charge imposed upon any parcel or person as an incident of property ownership shall not exceed the proportional cost of the service attributable to the parcel."
- "No fee or charge may be imposed for a service unless that service is actually used by, or immediately available to, the owner of the property in question. Fees or charges based on potential or future use of service are not permitted. Standby charges, whether characterized as charges or assessments, shall be classified as assessments and shall not be imposed without compliance with [the assessment section of this code]."
- "No fee or charge may be imposed for general governmental services including, but not limited to, police, fire, ambulance or library services where the service is available to the public at large in substantially the same manner as it is to property owners."

II. SERVICES FUNDED

Expenditures from the revenue generated from the Stormwater Utility fee are to comply with the requirements set forth in the NPDES permit. The activities and services funded by this fee include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Administration and oversight of the requirements set forth in the NPDES permit to various City departments, developments, and local agencies.
- 2. Prepare ordinances and resolutions designed to remain in compliance with the rules and regulations required by the NPDES permit, the Clean Water Act, and the Porter-Cologne Act.
- 3. Respond to and investigate incidents of sewage and/or chemical spills, illicit discharges and illegal connections to the storm drain system.
- 4. Periodically inspect facilities for proper handling of materials, chemicals, pollutants, garbage, waste, and debris and prevent any discharges to the storm drain system.
- 5. Regularly clean and provide maintenance of catch basins, the flow line, and storm drainage facilities.
- 6. Installation and maintenance of water quality devices required to keep pollutants out of the storm drain system.
- 7. Discourage illegal dumping or discharge of pollutants into the storm drain system by stenciling all City-owned catch basins with a "No Dumping" message.



- 8. Provide street sweeping to keep litter and debris from entering the storm drain system.
- 9. Augment public education and outreach programs in regards to the proper use and function of the storm drainage system and the receiving waters.
- 10. Develop programs to promote, publicize, and facilitate public reporting of illicit discharges to the storm drain system.
- 11. Encourage the proper disposal of household hazardous waste (HHW) to prevent the improper disposal to the storm drain system or to the sewer system.
- 12. Water quality monitoring required in the Santa Clara River and storm drain outfalls.
- 13. Capital costs related to installing full capture trash treatment devices.
- 14. Design cost for established pollutant priorities, regional BMPs and LID/Green Streets projects.
- 15. Discourage the improper disposal of litter, garden clippings, leaves, and pet waste into the street or the storm drain system.

III. RATE STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

Section 6.b of Article XIIID of the State Constitution states that:

"The amount of a fee or charge imposed upon any parcel or person as an incident of property ownership shall not exceed the proportional cost of the service attributable to the parcel." and

"No fee or charge may be imposed for a service unless that service is actually used by, or immediately available to, the owner of the property in question."

By definition, all properties that shed stormwater into the City's stormwater drainage system, use or are served by the City's stormwater drainage system; therefore, should be subject to a fee. The amount of use attributed to each parcel is measurable by the amount of storm runoff contributed by the property, which is directly proportional to the amount of impervious area on a parcel (such as buildings and concrete). The more impervious area on a property, the more storm runoff the property generates, the more demand placed on the storm drain system.

The amount each parcel uses the stormwater drainage system is computed by the following formula: (Parcel Area) x (Impervious Percentage) = Drainage Units



The typical percent impervious (% Impervious) for land uses in the City, as provided in the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Hydrology Manual, updated January 2006, have been applied for the purposes of estimating the runoff generated by each property. These are shown in Table 1 on the following page.

The more Drainage Units a parcel has, the more storm run-off it generates, and the more it uses and impacts the stormwater drainage system.

It is standard practice to relate other land uses to a developed single family home, instead of working exclusively with Drainage Units. The City's parcel database shows that over 60% of the parcels within the City are designated as Single Family Residential (SFR) parcels, and the median size of a SFR parcel is approximately 7,000 square feet (0.16 acre). Therefore, it makes sense to relate all parcels to this median residential property. Therefore, the runoff from a 0.16-acre SFR parcel is set equal to one Equivalent Residential Unit (ERU) and this base ERU is calculated as follows: (0.16 acres of area) x 42% = 0.0672 Drainage Units = 1 ERU

The table below provides a preliminary summary of Drainage Units, ERUs, Maximum	
and Applied Fee Rates for the various assessable land uses in the City:	

Drainage Land Use	Parcels	Acres	%Imp	Drainage Units	ERUs	Maximum Fee Rates (\$)	Applied Fee Rates (\$)
Antenna	1	3.78	2%	0.08	1.13	24.04	24.04
Cemetery	2	55.36	10%	1.49	27.83	24.04	24.04
Church/Inst	51	142.80	82%	91.44	1,361.19	24.04	24.04
College	14	289.29	47%	112.30	1,670.86	24.04	24.04
Com Recreation	17	153.46	90%	129.60	1,928.52	24.04	24.04
Com Storage	35	79.11	90%	71.21	1,059.44	24.04	24.04
Communications	8	51.83	82%	42.51	632.57	24.04	24.04
Day Care	2	0.83	68%	1.34	20.01	24.04	24.04
Dev Park	105	418.81	10%	42.42	663.57	24.04	24.04
Dump	2	1.28	15%	0.20	2.86	24.04	24.04
EI-Hi School	64	599.14	Actual	362.41	5,392.21	24.04	24.04
Golf	14	325.70	3%	16.79	249.75	24.04	24.04
High Density CNDO	4,720	239.22	90%	212.55	3,097.58	24.04	24.04
High Density MFR	201	349.11	90%	318.56	4,740.72	24.04	24.04
Hotel/Motel	7	20.43	96%	16.70	248.54	24.04	24.04
Light Industrial	709	1,055.07	91%	1,029.46	15,321.97	24.04	24.04
Low Density CNDO	5,302	936.38	55%	489.80	7,279.77	24.04	24.04
Low Density MFR	74	219.90	55%	107.90	1,604.78	24.04	24.04



Drainage Land Use	Parcels	Acres	%lmp	Drainage Units	ERUs	Maximum Fee Rates (\$)	Applied Fee Rates (\$)
Med Density CNDO	6,591	608.09	86%	514.07	7,639.21	24.04	24.04
Med Density MFR	161	145.37	86%	124.96	1,862.37	24.04	24.04
Medical Facility	57	37.37	74%	30.97	460.65	24.04	24.04
Mineral Extract	17	254.37	10%	25.44	378.53	24.04	24.04
Mobile Homes	30	342.69	91%	315.71	4,698.31	24.04	24.04
Nurseries/Agr	10	21.25	15%	5.10	75.88	24.04	24.04
Office	293	274.92	91%	261.30	3,905.88	24.04	24.04
Open Storage	87	154.80	66%	102.22	1,520.56	24.04	24.04
Parking	157	223.50	91%	191.69	2,852.73	24.04	24.04
Power Facilities	22	273.07	47%	110.30	1,642.13	24.04	24.04
Ranch	8	26.48	42%	9.16	136.17	24.04	24.04
Regnl Shopping Ctr	39	154.41	95%	167.78	2,496.84	24.04	24.04
Retail	551	734.63	97%	754.17	11,146.21	24.04	24.04
SFR-0.5	35,813	6,689.97	42%	2,803.51	41,726.90	24.04	24.04
SFR-1	1,234	898.65	formula	239.52	3,565.06	24.04	24.04
SFR Rural	1,156	2,906.09	formula	361.18	5,370.57	24.04	24.04
Special Case	2	427.07	formula	6.82	101.44	24.04	24.04
Undev Park	46	152.77	2%	2.35	45.47	24.04	24.04
Vacant	3,238	11,825.58	1%	116.20	1,765.26	24.04	24.04
Totals	60,840	31,092.57		9,189.23	136,693.46		

Note: Drainage Units and ERUs are rounded to two decimal places.

SFR properties are separated into three (3) groups and their impervious percentage is calculated as follows:

SFR Category	Drainage Unit Formula
SFR-0.5 : SFR parcels 0.5 acres or less	Acres x 42% (max=0.21)
SFR-1 : SFR parcels 0.5 – 1 acres	0.21 + (acres – 0.5) x 21% (max=0.315)
SFR-Rural : SFR parcels more than 1 acre	0.315 + (acres – 1.0) x 10%

Multi-Family Residential (MFR) and Condominium (CNDO) units are split into categories by the density, or number of dwelling units per acre (DU/Ac) as follows:

MFR or Condo Category	Density	% Impervious
High Density	Greater than 18 DU/Ac	90%
Med Density	10 – 18 DU/Ac	86%
Low Density	Less than 10 Du/Ac	55%



Condominium unit parcel areas are calculated either by using the sizes of the individual unit and the common area parcels separately, or by dividing the total area of the condominium complex (which includes the common area) by the number of condominium units and the total imperviousness of the entire complex is attributed to each individual condo parcel in the complex. (This divides the runoff of the entire complex to each of the individual units.) Because these condominium common areas are taken into consideration in this manner, they are exempt from the charge.

The latter calculation has been made when the individual unit areas are not readily available. These two ways of calculating the charges are considered nearly equivalent when applied. With the first method, the common area property is charged and paid by the Home Owner Associations which are passed on to the individual units. With the second method, the common area property is added into the individual units. Therefore, proportionality has been maintained.

Within the City of Santa Clarita and the Santa Clara River Watershed, there are many separate drainage areas of various terrains and with inlets, pipes and channels made of various materials and in various conditions. The storm drain system discharges to the Santa Clara River and its tributaries. The Santa Clara River provides habitat to hundreds of plant and animal species. It also provides about 50% of the area's water supply.

All parcels draining into City-maintained drainage infrastructure is proposed to be charged the same user fee rate per ERU for stormwater runoff treatment. The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee is proposed in perpetuity.

For the purposes of this report, City-maintained drainage infrastructure includes streets, pipes, inlets, outlets, and natural drainage courses, and is also referred to as the "City's stormwater drainage system." Parcels related to these types of property uses are exempt from the runoff charge, as they are part of the infrastructure being funded. Also exempt from the runoff charge is Caltrans right-of-way, because Caltrans handles its own runoff under a separate NPDES permit.

Elementary through High Schools (EI-Hi Schools) have been looked at on an individual basis to determine the actual percent impervious for each property, as properties with this use had a wide range of impervious percentages.

Properties that had submitted appeals to the charge were reviewed and a determination has been made on a parcel-by-parcel basis as to the applicability of the appeal to this methodology.



IV. COST ESTIMATE

Y 2016/2017 Expenditures \$266.68 Sile-Specific - Ridgeport \$266.68 Sile-Specific - Creckside 21.470 Sile-Specific - Ridge Port \$170 Sile-Specific - River Park 0 Personnel 1.281.588 Difice, Equipment and Program Costs 181.021 Streed Sweeping 716.648 Azaradous Waste Collection 14.000 Yofter South Repair 11.000 Streed Sweeping 555.976 Storm Drain Repair 11.000 Azaradous Waste Collection 42.951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$43.81.168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) Vericle/Equipment Vericle/Equipment \$00 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$1,660.36 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884.301 Y2016/2017 Revenues \$1,600.36 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$1,600.36 Subtota	FY 2016/2017 Estimated Expenditures and Revenues	
Site Specific - Creekside24.039Site Specific - Hidden Creek21.470Site Specific - River Park0Personnel1.281.588Difce, Equipment and Program Costs181.021Sitre Specific - River Park0Parsonnel1.281.588Difce, Equipment and Program Costs181.021Sitre Specific - River Park14.000Professional and Contractual Services585.976Subto Contractual Services585.976Subto Contractual Services527.610Transfers out to GSB 45/GF42.951Subto al 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$3.438.168Inticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown)\$0Vehicle/Equipment\$0Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.884.301Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.884.301Reserves\$4.884.301Catal 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.884.301Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$9.322.469Y 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.884.301Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$9.322.469Y 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.884.301Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$9.322.469Y 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.384.301Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$9.322.469Y 2016/2017 Reserves\$4.384.301Subtotal 2016/2017 R	FY 2016/2017 Expenditures	
Sile Specific - Hidden Creek 21.470 Sile Specific - River Park 0 Personnel 1.281.588 Office, Equipment and Program Costs 181.021 Site Specific - River Park 0 Presonnel 1.281.588 Office, Equipment and Program Costs 181.021 Site Steeping 716.648 azardous Waste Collection 11.000 Professional and Contractual Services 585.976 Star Down and Repair 11.000 Agrital Outlay 0 General Fund Overhead Allocation 527.610 Transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42.951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$3.438.168 Inticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) \$0 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Aprial Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4.884.301 Operating Reserve \$1,680.396 Capital MP Water Quality	Site-Specific - Bridgeport	\$26,668
Sile Specific - Hart Pony 5,197 Site Specific - River Park 0 Personnel 1281,588 Office, Equipment and Program Costs 181,021 Street Sweeping 716,648 Jazardous Waste Collection 14,000 Viclessional and Contractual Services 585,976 Storm Drain Repair 11,000 Capital Outlay 0 Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation 527,610 Transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) Vehicle/Equipment Vehicle/Equipment Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: Statist 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves \$0 Parating Reserve \$1,680,396 Reserves \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Y 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 Iters of \$5,026,021 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$6,3224 Y 2016/2017 Revenues	Site-Specific - Creekside	24,039
Sile Specific - River Park 0 Versonnel 1.281.588 Sifes, Equipment and Program Costs 181.021 Street Sweeping 716.648 tazardous Waste Collection 14.000 Professional and Contractual Services 585.976 Storm Drain Repair 11.000 Capital Outlay 0 Service Service 42.951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$3,438.168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) \$0 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: \$0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades \$0 Capital Projects: \$0 Storm Drain Reserve \$1,680.396 Reserves \$1,680.396 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884.301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$50.000 Storm Water Politution Prevention Program (SWPPP)	Site-Specific - Hidden Creek	21,470
Personnel 1,281,588 Diffice, Equipment and Program Costs 181,021 Street Sweeping 716,648 Azardous Waste Collection 14,000 Professional and Contractual Services 585,976 Storm Drain Repair 11,000 Operatin Coverhead Allocation 527,610 Transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$3,438,168 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$1,680,396 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$1,680,396 Reserves \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 Subtotal Projection Permit Fees \$50,000 Subtotal Policion Permit Fees \$50,000 Subtotal Policion Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Mice-Specific - Bridgeport \$6,037	Site-Specific - Hart Pony	
Personnel 1,281,588 Diffice, Equipment and Program Costs 181,021 Street Sweeping 716,648 Azardous Waste Collection 14,000 Professional and Contractual Services 585,976 Storm Drain Repair 11,000 Operatin Coverhead Allocation 527,610 Transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$3,438,168 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$1,680,396 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$1,680,396 Reserves \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 Subtotal Projection Permit Fees \$50,000 Subtotal Policion Permit Fees \$50,000 Subtotal Policion Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Mice-Specific - Bridgeport \$6,037	Site-Specific - River Park	
Diffice, Equipment and Program Costs181.021Street Sweeping716.648tazardous Waste Collection14.000Ordessional and Contractual Services585.976Storm Drain Repair11.000Capital Outlay0Capital Outlay0Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation527.610trainsfers out to GASB 45/GF42.951Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$3,438,168Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown)\$0Vehicle/Equipment\$0Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades0Lapital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues\$1,680.396Vergional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting\$5,000V2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000Nurser Subtotal Projection Permit Frees\$50,000V2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000Storm Water Polution Program (SWPPP)\$0Storm Water Polution Program (SWPPP)\$0Storm Specific - Art Open Program (SWPPP)\$0Stile-Specific - Art Open Program (SWPPP)\$6,007Site-Specific - Hidden Creek\$2,249Stile-Specific - Hidden Creek\$2,249Site-Specific - Hidden Creek\$2,249Stile-Specific - Hidden Creek\$2,249Site-Specific - Hart Pony\$6,505Site-Specific - Hart Pony\$6,505 </td <td>Personnel</td> <td>1,281,588</td>	Personnel	1,281,588
Street Sweeping 716,648 taraardous Waste Collection 14,000 Professional and Contractual Services 585,976 Storm Drain Repair 11,000 Capital Outlay 0 Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation 527,610 ransfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$3,438,168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) \$0 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: \$0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Reserves \$0 Poerating Reserve \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 Chicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 632,824,490 Total 2016/2017 Expenditures \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees \$4,302,469 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees \$26,007	Office, Equipment and Program Costs	
fazardous Waste Collection 14,000 rofessional and Contractual Services 585,976 Storn Drain Repair 11,000 gapital Outlay 0 Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation 527,610 transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures \$3,438,168 Inticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) \$0 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves \$1,680,396 Reserves \$1,680,396 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301	Street Sweeping	716,648
Professional and Contractual Services585,976Storm Drain Repair11,000Capital Outlay0Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation527,610Transfers out to GASB 45/GF42,951Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$3,438,168Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown)\$0Vehicle/Equipment\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$1,680,396Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$1,680,396Subtotal 2016/2017 Revences\$1,680,396Segrona BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting\$2,540,621Cold/2017 Revences\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revences\$4,884,301Storm Water Pollution Pregram (SWPPP)0MisesPacific - Bridgeport\$2,830Site-Specific - Creekside\$2,830Site-Specific - Creekside\$2,831Site-Specific - Creekside\$2,831Site-Specific - Creekside\$2,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony\$6,505Site-Specific - Hart Pony\$6,505Site-Specific - Hart Pony\$5,036,358Subtot	Hazardous Waste Collection	
Storm Drain Repair 1,000 Capital Outlay 0 General Fund Overhead Allocation 527,610 Transfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$3,438,168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Carb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Reserves \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 (rehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees 14,310 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Miscellaneous Revenue 289,066 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,831 Site-Specific - Creekside 26,087 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 22,289 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 24,0637	Professional and Contractual Services	
Capital Outlay0General Fund Overhead Allocation527,610transfers out to GASB 45/GF42,951Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$3,438,168Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown)\$0Vehicle/Equipment\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621Chicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$50,000Subtotal Polection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,660Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,306Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidd Pony6,505Site-Specific - Hidd Pony6,505Site-Specific -		
Seneral Fund Overhead Allocation 527,610 ransfers out to GASB 45/GF 42,951 Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$3,438,168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 Zehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$2,50,000 Subtotal 2016/2017 Rese	·	
Transfers out to GASB 45/GF42,951Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$3,438,168Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting\$2,640,621Vehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees\$14,310Subtotal Projectic: Bridgeport\$28,906Operating Transfers in\$3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport\$28,310Site-Specific - Hiddn Creek\$2,228Site-Specific - Hiddn Creek\$2,228Site-Specific - Hiddn Creek\$2,289Site-Specific - River Park\$0Sitmaded Fund Balance - July 1, 2016\$50,363		
Subtotal 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$3,438,168 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown) \$0 Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: \$0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Reserves \$1,680,396 Poerating Reserve \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting \$1,680,396 Vehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$8,322,469 Y 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees \$14,310 Otrar Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Creekside 26,087 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 22,289 Site-Specific - H		
Anticipated New Permit Expenditures (actual costs unknown)Vehicle/Equipment\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures:\$0Capital Projects:0Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades0Curb & Gutter Replacement0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Reserves\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues\$8,322,469Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$14,310Interest\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees\$14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport22,289Site-Specific - Ridgeport22,289Site-Specific - Ridgeport22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony0Site-Specific - Rider Creek0Site-Specific - Rider Creek0Sit		
Vehicle/Equipment \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: 0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Reserves \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 /ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees \$14,310 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Viscellaneous Revenue 28,9066 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Ridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Ridgeport 22,228 Site-Specific - Rider Creek 20		+0,100,000
Subtotal 2016/2017 Anticipated New Permit Expenditures: \$0 Capital Projects: 0 Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Reserves \$1,680,396 Operating Reserve \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 /ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Revenues \$4,884,301 nterest \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees 14,310 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Miscellaneous Revenue 289,066 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 22,289 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 22,289 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - River Park 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		\$0
Capital Projects:Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades0Curb & Gutter Replacement0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Reserves\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Storm Drain & Culvert Upgrades 0 Curb & Gutter Replacement 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects: \$0 Reserves Deperating Reserve \$1,680,396 Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting 2,540,621 /ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve 663,284 Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Reserves: \$4,884,301 Total 2016/2017 Expenditures: \$8,322,469 Y 2016/2017 Revenues nterest \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees 14,310 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Aliscellaneous Revenue 289,066 Diet-Specific - Bridgeport 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Greekside 26,087 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - River Park 0 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$50,06,358		ψŪ
Curb & Gutter Replacement0Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Reserves\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		0
Subtotal 2016/2017 Capital Projects:\$0Reserves\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues:\$8,322,469EY 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,06,358Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
ReservesOperating Reserve\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues:\$8,322,469EY 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - River Park0Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		•
Deperating Reserve\$1,680,396Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621Zehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues\$8,322,469Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aiscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - River Park0Stimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		ψŪ
Regional BMP Water Quality Basin-Design & Permitting2,540,621Zehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$8,322,469Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aliscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		\$1 680 396
/ehicle/Equipment Replacement Reserve663,284Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Revenues:\$8,322,469FY 2016/2017 Revenues:\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony0Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Subtotal 2016/2017 Reserves:\$4,884,301Total 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$8,322,469EY 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Miscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek0Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Total 2016/2017 Expenditures:\$8,322,469Y 2016/2017 Revenues\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aiscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Y 2016/2017 RevenuesInterest\$50,000SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aliscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
hterest \$50,000 SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees 14,310 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP) 0 Aiscellaneous Revenue 289,066 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Creekside 26,087 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 22,289 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 22,289 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 22,289 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - River Park 0 Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 2016 4,596,101	•	¢0/022/107
SUSMP Inspection Permit Fees14,310Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aliscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hidden Creek6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		\$50,000
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP)0Aliscellaneous Revenue289,066Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Aliscellaneous Revenue 289,066 Operating Transfers in 3,690 Site-Specific - Bridgeport 28,310 Site-Specific - Creekside 26,087 Site-Specific - Hidden Creek 222,289 Site-Specific - Hart Pony 6,505 Site-Specific - River Park 0 Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 2016 4,596,101 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		
Operating Transfers in3,690Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358	o i i j	
Site-Specific - Bridgeport28,310Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Site-Specific - Creekside26,087Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Site-Specific - Hidden Creek22,289Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Site-Specific - Hart Pony6,505Site-Specific - River Park0Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 20164,596,101Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues:\$5,036,358		
Site-Specific - River Park 0 Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 2016 4,596,101 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		
Estimated Fund Balance - July 1, 2016 4,596,101 Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		
Subtotal 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		-
	City Contribution:	\$0,050,500 0
Total 2016/2017 Revenues: \$5,036,358		
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee Requirement: \$3,286,111		
	(1) Total Stormwater Fee collected for the District may be slightly different due to rounding.	φ3,200,111
	Note: ERUs and Rates are summarized in Section III Rate Structure Analysis.	



V. FEE CALCULATIONS

The estimated annual costs for the proposed storm drain improvements are \$3,286,111. Dividing that amount by the total number of ERUs in the City, the estimated Stormwater Pollution Prevention Fee rate is \$24.04 per ERU for fiscal year 2016/2017. The maximum amount that can be charged per ERU for fiscal year 2016/2017 is \$24.04 per ERU.

The maximum rate will be increased each fiscal year by the annual change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI), during the preceding year, for All Urban Consumers, for the Los Angeles, Riverside and Orange County areas, published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (or a reasonably equivalent index should the stated index be discontinued).

The following table provides sample fee calculations for various land uses and parcel sizes for fiscal year 2016/2017.

Land Use Designation	Parcel Area (sf)	Parcel area (ac)	x	Percent Impervious	=	Drainage Units /	0.0672	=	ERUs	\$24.04/ERU
SFR-0.5	3,500	0.08	х	42%	=	0.033600 /	0.0672	=	0.5	\$12.02
SFR-0.5	5,000	0.11	х	42%	=	0.046200 /	0.0672	=	0.6875	\$16.52
SFR-0.5	7,000	0.16	х	42%	=	0.067200 /	0.0672	=	1	\$24.04
SFR-0 5	12,000	0.28	х	42%	=	0.117600 /	0.0672	=	1.75	\$42.07
SFR-0.5	21,500	0.5	х	42%	=	0.210000 /	0.0672	=	3.125	\$75.12
SFR-1	22,000	0.51	х	0.21 +(ac-0.5)x21%	=	0.212100 /	0.0672	=	3.1563	\$75.87
SFR-1	35,000	0.8	х	0.21+(ac-0 5)x21%	=	0.273000 /	0.0672	=	4.0625	\$97.66
SFR-Rural	87,120	2	х	0.315+(ac-1)x10%	=	0.415000 /	0.0672	=	6.1756	\$148.46
Low Density CNDO	7,100	0.16	х	55%	=	0.088000 /	0.0672	=	1.3095	\$31.48
Low Density MFR	43,560	1	х	55%	=	0.550000 /	0.0672	=	8.1845	\$196.75
Med Density CNDO	3,150	0.07	х	86%	=	0.060200 /	0.0672	=	0.8958	\$21.53
Med Density MFR	43,560	1	х	86%	=	0.860000 /	0.0672	=	12.7976	\$307.65
High Density CNDO	1,800	0.04	х	90%	=	0.036000 /	0.0672	=	0.5357	\$12.87
High Density MFR	43,560	1	х	90%	=	0.900000 /	0.0672	=	13.3929	\$321.96
Service Station	6,534	0.15	х	91%	=	0.136500 /	0.0672	=	2.0313	\$48.83
Retail	21,780	0.5	х	97%	=	0.485000 /	0.0672	=	7.2172	\$173.50
Business Park	21,780	0.5	х	91%	=	0.455000 /	0.0672	=	6.7708	\$162.77
Office	10,890	0.25	х	91%	=	0.227500 /	0.0672	=	3.3854	\$81.38
Light Industrial	43,560	1	х	91%	=	0.910000 /	0.0672	=	13.5417	\$325.54
ChurcH	32,670	0.75	х	85%	=	0.637500 /	0.0672	=	9.4866	\$228.05
EI-Hi School	43,560	1	Х	82%	=	0.820000 /	0.0672	Ш	12.2024	\$293.34



Land Use Designation	Parcel Area (sf)	Parcel area (ac)	х	Percent Impervious	=	Drainage Units /	0.0672	=	ERUs	\$24.04/ERU
Developed Parks 5 x 10% = 0.500000 / 0.0672 = 7.4405								\$178.87		
Golf Courses		10	х	3%	=	0.300000 /	0.0672	=	4.4643	\$107.32
Vacant 2 x 1% = 0.02000 / 0.0672 = 0.2976 \$									\$7.15	
SFR = Single Family Residential 0.0672 = Drainage Units per median SFR ERU = Equivalent Residential Unit										
Note: Condominium pare	Note: Condominium parcel areas Include a pro-rata share of the common areas of the complex									

Approximately fifty-percent (50%) of all single family homes will have a charge equal to or less than the median fee of \$25.62.

The preliminary fee roll, which is a listing of each parcel to be charged a fee for fiscal year 2016/2017, is by reference made a part of this report and is on file in the office of the City Clerk.



VI. APPEALS PROCESS

If a property owner disagrees with the calculation of his or her fee, based on the parcel area and estimated impervious percentage assigned to the property, then the property owner may appeal the calculation as follows:

- 1. Property owner must provide written documentation explaining the reason why the charge should be changed. This documentation must include:
 - a. The name, phone number, mailing address, and email address, if available, of the property owner.
 - b. The Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) of the property in question.
- 2. If additional documentation is required or insufficient documentation was submitted, a representative of the Public Works Department or his or her designee (Staff) will notify the property owner in writing.
- 3. Once Staff has determined that sufficient documentation has been submitted, Staff will perform the initial review. Staff will notify the property owner in writing within four (4) weeks from the time sufficient documentation was submitted as to whether or not the fee amount will be changed.
 - a. If the determination is to change the fee amount, then the new fee amount will be documented within the City's fee database.
 - b. If the determination is that the fee should not be changed, the property owner can appeal Staffs decision to the City Engineer. The appeal must be made in writing and returned no later than four (4) weeks from the date of mailing of Staff s initial review decision. The City Engineer will notify the property owner in writing within four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of the appeal as to whether or not the fee amount will be changed.

If the owner of any parcel shall have reason to feel that the computation of the ERU count for his/her parcel is not correct, that person may file an appeal with the City Engineer in the manner prescribed by the City Engineer. The City Engineer will consider all data provided by the appellant and shall render a decision in writing. The decision of the City Engineer will be final with respect to City action on the appeal.

Appeals will be accepted annually up until June 30 for inclusion on the following fiscal year's property tax roll submittal. However, if an appeal is granted by Staff or the City Engineer that does not permit inclusion for the following fiscal year's property tax roll submittal, a reimbursement will be provided to the property owner by the City.