

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

---

## Gate-King Industrial Park Final Additional Analysis

SCH# 2001021121

### Response to Comments

In compliance with Section 15132 of the *California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines*, this document serves as the Final Additional Analysis for the proposed Gate-King Industrial Park project (Master Case No. 99-264, Tentative Tract Map 50283, General Plan Amendment 99-003, Zone Change 99-002, Oak Tree Permit 99-029, Conditional Use Permit 99-013, Hillside Review 99-004, and Development Agreement 99-002).

As required, this document provides responses to written comments received on the Draft Additional Analysis and response to public testimony received at the public hearing before the City of Santa Clarita Planning Commission on May 2, 2006. The Draft Additional Analysis was circulated for review from March 31, 2006 to May 8, 2006. Section 15132 of the *CEQA Guidelines* requires that the Final EIR (in this case an "Additional Analysis") consist of the following elements:

- (a) The Draft EIR [Additional Analysis] or a revision of the draft.<sup>1</sup>
- (b) Comments and recommendations received on the Draft EIR [Additional Analysis] either verbatim or in summary.<sup>2</sup>
- (c) A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the Draft EIR [Additional Analysis].
- (d) The responses of the Lead Agency to significant environmental points raised in the review and consultation process.
- (e) Any other information added by the Lead Agency.

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to the Draft Additional Analysis are to the Draft Additional Analysis for the Gate-King Industrial Park project, SCH No. 2001021121, released for public comment on March 31, 2004, and incorporated by reference into the Final Additional Analysis (*CEQA Guidelines*, Sections 15132, 15150, and 15362).

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

The Final Additional Analysis is organized in the following manner:

- 2.0 Comment Letters Received
- 3.0 Topical Responses
- 4.0 Responses to Comment Letters Received
- 5.0 Comments Received at the Public Hearing and Responses
- 6.0 Revised Draft Additional Analysis Pages
- 7.0 Appendices to the Final Additional Analysis

**List of Persons, Organizations or Public Agencies that Commented on the Draft Additional Analysis**

The following persons, organizations or public agencies provided letters commenting on the adequacy of the Draft Additional Analysis:

- 1. Southern California Association of Governments
- 2. California Integrated Waste Management Board
- 3. Southern California Regional Rail Authority
- 4. Castaic Lake Water Agency
- 5. Friends of the Santa Clara River (two letters)
- 6. Sierra Club – Angeles Chapter
- 7. Planning and Conservation League (two letters)
- 8. California Water Impact Network
- 9. California Oaks Foundation
- 10. Santa Clarita Oak Conservancy
- 11. Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (two letters)
- 12. South Coast Wildlands

The following persons, organizations, or public agencies provided oral comments on the adequacy of the Draft Additional Analysis during the May 2, 2006 public hearing:

- 1. Diane Trautman, Planning Commissioner, City of Santa Clarita
- 2. Sandra Cattell
- 3. Lynn Plambeck, Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment